LOVER POSTE UP FOUCHS NEPURT

30-2036 (03 DEC 84)

MCDONNELL DOUGLAS

5301 Bolsa Avenue, Huntington Beach, CA 92647 (714) 896-2065 Jack Houck M/S]j-] HOUCK'S WORK ADDRESS

VANCOUVER EXPERIMENT OBSERVATIONS

by

Jack Houck

August 1985

EN WASH DE COMMENTERSGROUP

CONTROL

SAMPLE:

- 3: 40: 200 / 1983 - 102

FROM

INSCOM GROUP

LOWER SEY - 8 13K

INSCOMMENTE ON DC

LARSHINGTON DC

LARSHINGTON DC

LARSHINGTON DC

LARSHINGTON DC

LARSHINGTON MALL

ARLINGTON MALL

ARLINGTON MALL

TO THIS REPORT RETURN TO

TO THIS REPORT

Brian-you might like to verify that an employee of Mc Donnell Douglas Aerospace (o. click actually witness the Hutchison offert. This employee is one JACK HOUCK, at: 16892 Canyon Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92649 Albert 1-10-99 September 4, 1985 (1127148465385-GEORGET HOV. John Hutchison 3744 East Hastings Burnaby, B.C., Canada Dear John. I really appreciate your letters and what you are doing. I sent Alex my report, and a copy of the video that I took during the evenings of August 13 and 14. I too wish I had more time to spend in Vancouver with you to attempt to create more reliable and focused effects. At this time, I don't feel that I am in a position to evaluate your ideas on how to focus "the field," but I certainly encourage you to do whatever you can to implement your ideas. There seems to be considerable interest in what you are doing from the people that I have talked to. I will continue to find appropriate scientists who are open enough to discuss the work that you are doing, and who might be able to help. Hope to see you again soon, and please keep in touch. Sincerely yours Jack Houck JH:pq

the HE. Perhaps you could contact her to get her opinion
ete? Abet 1-10-99.

TECNIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES

64 SANTA MARGARITA
SAN LEANDRO, CALL 94579

September 24, 1985

Alexis Pezarro

N. Vancouver BC.

RE: Hutchinson Effect

Dear Alexis and John,

It was good to hear from both of you. Jack Houck was in town last week and we got together for a most interesting meeting. He was most inpressed and excited about what he saw in British Columbia, Canada and detailed his impressions and ideas. Bill Van Bise, myself and Jack discussed experiments and ideas about what can be done.

I am most interested in hearing more about the project and would hope to get together with both of you and make some observations.

I am just completing the IEEE Tesla Conference Proceedings and hope to have that completed soon. I think these proceedings will be of interest to both of you.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth A. Rauscher, Ph.D

EAR/kcr

I think he was mendered a fer years back Integring that he too was anove of and interested in the H.E. See how late we have come onto the scene? (Uvi Geller aware for)

Albert 1-10-99

D. SCOTT ROGO
18132 SCHOENBORN STREET
NORTHRIDGE, CALIFORNIA 91324
(818) 993-1799

2

JAN. 29, 1986

DEAR MR. HUTCHINSON:

PLEASE, PLEASE EXCUSE MY LONG DELAY IN GETTING BACK TO YOU ABOUT THE REPORTS YOU SENT TO ME IN TERMBER. IT TOOK A WHILE FOR THE REOPLE AT UNIVERSITY TO GET IT TO ME, THEN IT GOT RATHER LOST UNDER A LOT OF OTHER MATERIAL.

THIS MORNING, I READ OVER HOUCK'S REPORT VERY CAREFULLY. PHYSICAL SCIENCE IS NOT MY STRONG POINT, BUT I CERTAINLY FOUND THESE REPORTS ABSOLUTELY FASCINATING.

PLANS TO GET UP TO CANADA SOON. NOR THE FUNDER, FOR THAT MATTER.

PLEASE DO KEEP ME ABREAST OF WHAT YOU ARE DOING, AND I AM SERIOUSLY INTERESTED IN KEEPING UP WITH YOUR WORK, THE RESULTS YOU ARE GETTING ARE PRETTY WILD, AND AM DEFINITELY INTERESTED IN THEM.

IS THERE ANY CHANCE YOU MIGHT BE GETTING DOWN HERE TO LOS ANG. ELES WITH SOME OF YOUR EQUIPMENT?

BEST WISHES,

Hely



OOK, M.P. 4-N, Centre Block Commons Ontario 32-2839

HOUSE OF COMMONS OTTAWA. CANADA

Parliamentary Secretary -Minister of State for External Affairs CONSTRICT OFFICE #7 - 11'ast 15th St. North \couver, B.C. V7L 2P (604) 9-6781

O T T A W A June 4, 1986

John Hutchinson

Dear Mr. Hutchinson:

I acknowledge, with thanks, receipt of your correspondence of May, 1986 in which you request information from the Department of National Defence.

*Because this is a matter involving national security, the Department asked that I limit my involvement to forwarding your letter to C.S.I.S. headquarters here in Ottawa. They will be looking after your situation, and hopefully will be able to provide you with the information you desire:

Thank you again for writing me. If ever I can be of assistance to you in the future, please do not hesitate to contact me again.

Sincerely yours,

Chuck Cook, M.P./ North Vancouver - Burnaby

CC/ba

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY LABORATORY COMMAND 2800 POWDER MILL RD., ADELPHI. MD 20783-1145

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

Office of Chief Counsel

2 3 APR 1987



Mr. John Hutchison Apt. #219 675 East 5th Street Vancouver, British Columbia

Dear Mr. Hutchison:

This letter is in response to a request made by the Honorable Chuck Cook, Member of Parliment for North Vancouver - Burnaby on your behalf.

We do not have a record in this Headquarters of a report or paper entitled "8 Los Alamos." The report or study which you seek may have been written by the Los Alamos National Laboratory.

If you desire, you may write the Public Affairs Office, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Department of Energy, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545.

I hope that this information assists you in your search.

Sincepely,

Paul Makowski

Colonel, General Staff

Chief of Staff

Copy Furnished:

Chuck Cook, M.P.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY UNITED STATES ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND FREEDOM OF INFORMATION/PRIVACY OFFICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5995

(Files) F01

March 13, 1991

Axiom General Systems 911 Dublin Street New Westminster Vancouver, B.C. Canada

Dear Mr. Hutchison:

Re: your letter December dated the 18 1990.

We understand that you received some slides of your work taken by Los Alamos and U.S.A.I 1983 June.

As you know the report is classified.

We suggest you go threw the proper foia act, The F.O.I.A. Title 5 Code 552.

However your project (The Hutchison Effect) holds merits in future developments

The best of luck in your research.

Sincerely,

ENCLOSURES (ike to try to obtain the hos Alamos lab.

a. 9.99. may above, made by the hos Hatchison's lab.

Dijan-yor wentived after their visit to that been able to classified.

Then H. himself promptly classified.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY HEADQUARTERS, U. S. ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND 5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333-0001



October 8, 1991



AMCPA-CR

Mr. John Hutchison 911 Dublin St. New Westminster, B.C. Canada V3M 242

Dear Mr. Hutchison:

Thank you for your letter of October 1, 1991, in which you asked if we could try one more time on your request for information under the Freedom of Information Act.

Unfortunately, we are out of ideas as to where we could refer your request for the information you seek. We're pleased that you were able to get in touch with Dr. Alexander and that he had made an attempt to come up with the information you seek.

In June, we referred your request to the Commander, U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command, Freedom of Information / Privacy Office, Ft. George G. Meade, Maryland 20755-5995, and outside of Dr. Alexander, know of no other place to try.

We wish you luck in your pursuit of the information you seek.

Sincerely.

Douglas H. Rogers Colonel, U.S. Army

Chief of Public Affairs

Los Alamos

Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 September 16, 1991



John J. Hutchinson 911 Dublin Street New Westminister, BC Canada, V3M 242

Dear Mr. Hutchinson:

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION, DATED AUGUST 28,1991

We are responding to your letter, dated August 28, 1991, in which you request a copy of a report prepared by John B. Alexander, a LANL employee.

The Laboratory does not respond to requests under the Freedom of Information Act because the Laboratory is not a federal agency. However, we respond in accordance with the California Public Records Act, California Government Code Section 6250, et. seq.

A search of our database shows that the information you seek was provided to you in a letter from John B. Alexander, dated September 10, 1991. For your easy reference, enclosed is a copy of that letter. Therefore, please consider your request fulfilled.

The enclosed information is released to you in accordance with the California Public Records Act, California Government Code Section 6250, et. seq.

Sincerely yours,

Gilbert R. Ortiz

Information Practices Officer

Enc: a/s Cy w/enc: John R. Gustafson, PA-1, MS-K304 CRM-DO, MS-A150 CRM-4, MS-A150



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND

5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333 - 0001



February 7, 1992

AMCPA-CR



Mr. John Hutchison 911 Dublin St. New Westminster, B.C. Canada V3M 242

Dear Mr. Hutchison

This is in response to your recent letter, in which you mentioned not having received a response from your inquiry to the Central Intelligence Agency.

Checking with my counterpart at the CIA, I was informed that your request was received, processed and a response was being prepared. Perhaps you've already received that response, but if not, you should be receiving it in the near future.

Concerning your problem with the Defense Technical Information Center, at Cameron Station, I'm afraid I'm unable to help on that. Rules and procedures constantly change throughout the government and you may, indeed, have to go through the Canadian Embassy to get the documents you want.

Sincerely,

Douglas H. Rogers Colonel, U.S. Army

Chief of Public Affairs

Duan-the important extension. Ichnob. Jen contact!

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Lewis Research Center Cleveland, OH 44135-3191



Reply to Attn of 5340

December 7, 1995

Mr. John Hutchison

CANADA

Dear Mr. Hutchison:

I received your Fax of Dec 2, 1995, but had a little trouble reading the handwriting. Can you please mail me a more legible copy?

I am already aware of some of your work, in particular from the writings by Hathaway from a few years ago. I have also seen some of your videos of objects set into vibrations, objects split apart, and objects being abruptly lifted up.

From what I have already read and seen, I am under the impression that it is difficult to reproduce the effects at will. Have you made any progress toward making any of your observed affects completely repeatable? Have you been able to isolate the key factors which make the effects happen or which keep the effects from happening? Are you able to carefully record the conditions of your experiments, including for example the magnitudes and frequencies of electromagnetic fields you use, the geometry of your set up, or any other parameters that my shed light on how these effects are occurring?

Do you still work with Hathaway or anyone else who can help you write about your work in a clear, logical fashion? Do you have anyone who can help you with your experiments to give the experiments the systematic rigor needed to trace down how the effects work?

Sincerely,

Marc G. Millis

Aerospace Engineer

TREVHUTCHISON.803

TRENERGY, Inc. P. O. BOX 58639 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84158

Voice: (801) 583-6232 Fax: (801) 583-2963 email: halfox@slkc.uswest.net

March 24, 1998

Mr. John Hutchison Apt. 305 727 - 5th Avenue New West, BC V3M 1X8 CANADA

Dear John,

First, my apologies for not writing to you sooner.

Ken Shoulders called me a few weeks ago (how time flies) and related to me that he had been able to reproduce one small piece of your amazing types of unusual and challenging physical phenomena. I am greatly pleased that you and Ken were able to get together. In my book there is no finer experimental living scientist than Ken Shoulders. And there is no person, that I know of, who had produced such challenging demonstrations of unusual physical phenomena than you have done.

To me new scientific discoveries are the real essence of science. Just hanging on to the old models and the baggage of what my Professor taught me has never been, to me, the essence of science. I like to read about new anomalies and try to explain them. Ken Shoulders is much better at this aspect of science than I am. I do get provoked, however, when so many scientists reject any data that does not fall into their favorite models.

When I first met you (at a Denver energy conference) and saw your samples, heard you talk, and saw some of your videos, I was really perplexed. Here was a tall, gentle person, without a hint of deceit showing such unusual anomalies. I had no scientific models or background from which I could come close to explaining the Hutchison Effect. Reluctantly, I had to say: "John has apparently found a way by which a certain area of the physical universe can be so modified that the normal rules of physics do not apply. There is nothing I can do about such phenomena until we can get some handle on the cause and effect of this phenomena." Now that Ken had been able to replicate a small part of the puzzle, there is hope for a greater understanding and a step forward for applying the Hutchison Effect for the benefit of all.

We have been very busy in supporting our work with Low-Energy Nuclear Reactions.